IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF LAWRENCE COUNTY, OHIO

CARL G. SIMPSON AND BONNIE REED SIMPSON, CO-ADMINISTRATORS OF THE ESTATE OF CARL D. SIMPSON,

COPY

Plaintiffs,

vs.

Case No. C-1-00-0014

INTERMET CORPORATION, ET AL.,

Defendants.

DEPOSITION OF ROGER RAMEY, SR.

The deposition of Roger Ramey, Sr., was taken on November 2, 2001, at the approximate hour of 9:20 a.m., at 215 South Fourth Street, Ironton, Ohio.

ELITE COURT REPORTING
13 Carriage Way
Hurricane, West Virginia 25526
(304) 757-1122

BRANDY D. FRAZIER

Randall L. Lambert D. Scott Bowling Attorneys at Law Lambert, McWhorter & Bowling Post Office Box 725 Ironton, OH 45638

Patricia Anderson Pryor Attorney at Law Taft, Stettinius & Hollister 425 Walnut Street Cincinnati, OH 45202-3957

Nancy J. Bride Attorney at Law Greenebaum, Doll & McDonald 255 East Fifth Street Cincinnati, OH 45202-4728

Robert A. Flaugher Attorney at Law Lane, Alton & Horst 175 South Third Street Columbus, OH 43215-5100

## INDEX

WITNESS	EXAMINATION	PAGE NO.
Roger Ramey, Sr.	Mr. Lambert Ms. Pryor Ms. Bride Mr. Flaugher Mr. Lambert Ms. Pryor	03 89 125 134 162 168
EXHIBITS		PAGE NO.

None

Reporter's Certification - 170 Errata Sheet/Signature Page - Waived Deposition of Roger Ramey, Sr.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

Doctor Do Case 1:00-cv-00014-WOB Page 3 of 4

Page 11

A. Yes, sir. O. And you held that position until your last date with the plant?

A. That is my recollection. They never did demote me or nothing.

- Q. In fact, around September 21st, '99, you had been offered even a position that would be above the area manager of the I-beam?
  - A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- O. What was that position again that you were offered?
- A. It was second shift superintendent. I was over all operations of the plant on second shift.
  - O. Why did you turn that down?
- A. Well, I've got kids in baseball, and I didn't want my evenings tied up in there when I had a day-turn job. I just wanted to spend my time with my family.
- O. I know we've had some approximate years as to when you were in different positions. How long would you have been in a supervisory position with Ironton Iron? Can you tell us approximately how long that lasted?
- A. I'm trying to remember when I took it. I'd been offered it on two different occasions and turned it down.
- Then I took it on this occasion. I'm going to say two

Page 12 years. I ain't 100 percent sure on that. It might have been a year and so many months. I ain't 100 percent sure.

- Q. About two years then?
- A. I'm gingo to say approximately two years.
- O. Now, let me make sure we're clear. About two years, you were area manager, or two years you were supervisory --
- A. Two years, supervisory. I was a supervisor there a total -- I'm going to say approximately, now, two years. Now, I don't know 100 percent on that.
- Q. That's fine. Out of that two years, how much of that were you area manager? In other words, how long did it take you to -
- A. I'm going to say I held the area manager job three months.
- Q. So a supervisor about two years and area manager the last three months?
  - A. That's approximate.
- Q. | understand. That's what I said, "about." Back when you were an operator of the Sutter machine -- let's go back, now. I wanted to get that timeline. We're going to back up to when you became an operator of the Sutter machine. Did you receive any training on the tagout/lockout procedures?

Page 13

- A. Not individually. We had group meetings. We had what you want to call safety meetings that showed you films. You signed off on them films, and you went back down there and went to work.
  - O. How often was that?
- A. Well, we had monthly safety meetings. Now, to go over how many times I seen the same lockout/tagout, I couldn't tell you.
- Q. It was not involved in each monthly safety 10 meeting?
  - A. No, sir.
- Q. As I understand, they were, like, on a rotating 12 13 basis. They had different things they would talk about.
  - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. Would the tagout/lockout had been talked about more than once a year, you think?
  - A. I'd say, yes. It was probably covered two or three times a year.
    - Q. How long would these safety meetings last?
  - A. However long it took to show a film and then sign off on it. You might be in there 10 minutes. You might be in there 20 minutes. It varied. You didn't have a
- 23 guaranteed time limit. They allowed you 30 minutes to show
  - it and get everybody back to work.

O. Would 10 to 20 minutes be a good average, somewhere in there?

A. I'd say 15 minutes would be a good average on every movie.

- Q. The movie on the -- did you see a movie on tagout/lockout?
  - A. Yes. sir.
- Q. Was that the same movie? Did you see more than one, in other words?
- A. Well, that's hard to answer because I probably seen the same one five times and maybe the next one five times. If you seen one at the beginning of the year, that's the same one you seen all year.
- Q. That's what I mean. On the tagout/lockout, was it only one movie that they showed?
- A. Not through the whole time I worked there, now. I seen different ones. But I'm going to say - I'm safe to say that if I went in on January and seen a lockout/tagout movie, that following June, it was the same movie.
- Q. Was the tagout/lockout -- was the movie ever specific to the Sutter machine itself?
- 22 A. No, sir.
  - Q. So it was just tagout/lockout, in general?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.

Page 14

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

Page 99

everything. You can see the box - it's the same picture, all the way over in the corner over there. That is what you want to call transferred in. That is in toward the operator. Then it will come out to blow. Well, when that machine cycled out to come out to make that blow, make that limit, go up and blow, it ended up in the position B-2 is in. It broke. There's a pin that rides on an air cylinder that's got a trolley car right there that moves that back and forth. And that pin broke. And that pattern rolled -it didn't roll out that far, but it rolled out to the area

Q. This is on the opposite side of the machine where the helper and operator generally stand; is that correct?

where the B-2 pattern is sitting right now (indicating).

- A. No. This is the outside of the machine. The operator and helper stand back toward where the pattern is setting on B-1.
- O. Right. So the pattern in the Tom Slaughter incident was on the --
- A. Toward the gangway. It was on -- if you were walking into the I-beam and you looked straight at the machines, you didn't see the operator. It was in the exact position that the B-2 Sutter box is in right now with the exception of three or four foot. I mean, it didn't come all the way out that far (indicating).

Page 101

tubes. Well, when it brought it up, he had his finger in one of them holes, and that blow tube caught his finger and peeled it back.

- Q. Was Tom Slaugher an operator, or was he on the clean-up crew?
- A. No. He was an operator of the A-1 Sutter machine at the time of the accident.
- O. Did his accident have anything to do with restarting the machine, manually, by the manual overdrive valve?
- A. No. His was on the squeeze part of it. But the squeeze, yes, it's got a manual override valve, too. All of them did.
- Q. Was anyone pressing the manual override button at the time when he --
  - A. No. The machine wasn't locked out.
- Q. But no one was using the manual override button?
  - A. No.
- O. Was this during a normal operation cycle he was 19 20 doing this?
  - A. Well, what it was, was it just broke that pin. He went out there to shove it in and forgot to turn the power off on it.
    - O. So he forgot? I mean, he should have turned the

Q. Which is not on the same side as where the operator and helper normally stand?

- A. No.
- Q. So the pattern is back here. What did Tom Slaughter do?
- A. He came around the machine and went to shove that pattern back in to put a new transfer pin in to keep it running. Well, this blow plate -- you'll see this plate on top of this pattern right here.
  - O. That's right above where --
- A. It's a shiny aluminum box. Well, if you see them bolts sticking down on the B-2 blow chamber right there, that piece bolts to that piece (indicating).
  - O. Okay.

A. You can't see it -- I don't know if you've got another picture here. It's showing it out there in that position. You don't have any.

I'll try to refer to Picture 14 in explaining it. At the corner of the box right there, you'll see the blow tubes sticking down. Well, if you refer back to 15, to where that box is in that out position, where that blow plate is bolted up like it is in Picture 14, he shoved the box back in towards the operator. And when it made a limit, it brought that box up to meet with those blow

A. Yes. If they'd have been enforcing the lockout procedure, it wouldn't --

O. But he knew. I mean, he knew about the lockout procedure, didn't he, to your knowledge?

A. Well, at the time, I didn't.

- Q. You didn't know about the lockout procedure at that time?
- A. I mean, I wasn't an operator. I was working on the clean-up crew.
- Q. After that injury, though, I think you testified that Dave Johnson was the area manager and he met with you guys and reinforced the fact that it needed to be locked out when you were working on the machine like that?

A. Well, he didn't really -- I don't know if you want to call it enforcing it. He took us in a meeting and talked about it and, you know, told us that these machines were dangerous, to be careful in them. He didn't want to read in a book later on and read about an incident that he's had to read about. I mean, we didn't go in for no lockout/tagout safety meeting, no. But all he done was told us - you know, he went over the fact that if the machine had been -- if the power had been turned off and

the locks been on it, Tom wouldn't have gotten his finger

**ELITE COURT REPORTING** 

Page 102

Page 100